MIT OpenCourseWare <http://ocw.mit.edu>

5.111 Principles of Chemical Science Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit:<http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

### **5.111 Lecture Summary #12**

**\_ Readings for today:** Section 2.9 (2.10 in *3rd ed*) , Section 2.10 (2.11 in *3rd ed*), Section 2.11 (2.12 in *3rd ed*), Section 2.3 (2.1 in *3rd ed*), Section 2.12 (2.13 in *3rd ed*). **Read for Lecture #13:** Section 3.1 (*3rd* or *4th ed*) – The Basic VSEPR Model, Section 3.2 (*3rd* or *4th ed*) – Molecules with Lone Pairs on the Central Atom.

**Topics: I.** Breakdown of the octet rule  **Case 1.** Odd number of valence electrons  **Case 2.** Octet deficient molecules  **Case 3.** Valence shell expansion **II.** Ionic bonds **III.** Polar covalent bonds and polar molecules

## **I. BREAKDOWN OF THE OCTET RULE**

## **Case 1. Odd number of valence electrons**

For molecules with an odd number of **valence** electrons, it is not possible for each atom in the molecule to have an octet, since the octet rule works by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ e<sup>-</sup>s.

**\_** 

Example: CH<sub>3</sub>

2)  $3(1) + 4 =$  valence electrons **H<sub>3</sub>C H H C H** 3)  $3(2) + 8 =$  electrons needed for octet 4)  $14 - 7 =$  bonding electrons

**Radical species**: molecule with an \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ electron.

Radicals are usually very reactive. The reactivity of radical species leads to interesting (and sometimes harmful) biological activity.



Some radicals are more stable. For example, NO

**NO N O** 

- 1) Draw skeletal structure
- 2)  $5 + 6 = 11$  valence electrons

NO

- 3)  $8 + 8 = 16$  electrons needed for octet
- 4)  $16 11 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  bonding electrons

### *Nitric oxide, NO*

- an important cell-signaling molecule in humans.
- diffuses freely across cell membranes and signals for the smooth muscle in blood vessels to relax, resulting in vasodilation and increased blood flow.
- a *radical species*, NO has a short lifetime in the body, which makes it an ideal messenger molecule between adjacent cells.
- You may be familiar with a drug that inhibits the breakdown of an NO binding

vasodilation: partner (an enzyme), leading to increased blood flow: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

the widening of blood vessels Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Now let's think about molecular oxygen, O2.

What we expect:  $\circ$  O

- 2) \_ valence electrons
- 3) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ electrons needed for octet
- 4) \_ bonding electrons
- 5) Add two electrons per bond.
- 6) 2 bonding electrons remaining. Make double bond.
- 7) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ valence electrons make lone pairs

Lewis method seems to work here, but in reality  $O_2$  is a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_!

 $\cdot 0 - 0$ 

We need molecular orbital (MO) theory (Lecture #14).

#### **Case 2. Octet deficient molecules**

Some molecules are stable with an **incomplete** octet. Group 13 elements \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ have this property.

 $F = B - F$ Consider BF3

First, let's write the Lewis structure that achieves octets on every atom.

- 2)  $3 + 3(7) =$  valence electrons
- 3)  $8 + 3(8) =$  electrons needed for octet

 $\mathrm{F}^\bullet$ 

- 4)  $32 24 =$  bonding e-s 5) assign two electrons per bond.
- 6)  $8 6 = 2$  extra bonding electrons  $7)$   $24 8 = 16$  lone pair electrons
- 8) calculate formal charges:

 $FC_B = 3 - 0 - (1/2)(8) = -1$ 

$$
FC_{FDB} = 7 - \underline{\hspace{1cm}} - (1/2)(\underline{\hspace{1cm}}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}
$$

$$
FC_F = 7 - \underline{\hspace{1cm}} - (\frac{1}{2})(\underline{\hspace{1cm}}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}
$$

But experiments suggest that all three B-F bonds have the same length, that of a

bond.



The formal charges are more favorable for this structure.

## **Case 3. Valence shell expansion**

Elements with  $n = or > 3$  have empty  $\frac{1}{n}$  - orbitals, which means more than eight electrons can fit around the central atom.

Expanded valence shells are more common when the central atom is \_\_\_\_\_\_ and is bonded to small, highly electronegative atoms such as O, F, and Cl.

- 
- 

Consider PCl<sub>5</sub>



To make five P-Cl bonds, need \_\_\_\_\_\_ shared electrons. So 40 – 10 = 30 lone-pair electrons.

Consider CrO<sub>42</sub>-



8) calculate formal charges:

 $FC_{Cr} = 6 - 0 - (1/2)(8) = +2$  $FC_O = 6 - (1/2)(2) = -1$ 

Total charge =  $2 + 4(-1) = -2$ 

But experimentally, Cr-O bond length and strength are between that of a single and double bond!



 $FC_{Cr} = 6 - 0 - \frac{1}{2}12 = 0$  $FC<sub>ODB</sub> = 6 - 4 - (1/2)4 = 0$  $FC_O = 6 - 6 - (1/2)2 = -1$ 

Valence shell expansion around Cr results in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ formal charge separation. More stable Lewis structure.

#### **II. IONIC BONDS**

Ionic bonds involve the complete \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of (one or more) electrons from one atom to another with a bond resulting from the electrostatic attraction between the cation and anion.

Consider the formation of NaCl from the neutral atoms, Na and Cl.



The mutual attraction between the oppositely-charged ions releases energy. The net energy change for the formation of NaCl is a **decrease** in energy.

We can calculate the Coulomb attraction based on the distance between the two ions (assume here that the ions are point charges):

$$
U(r) = \frac{z_1 z_2 e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r}
$$
 for 2 unlike charges,  
z = charge numbers of the ions and  
e = absolute value of the charge of an e<sup>-</sup> (1.602 X 10<sup>-19</sup> C)

Calculate  $U(r)$  for Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>. NaCl has a bond length  $(r) = 2.36$ Å.

$$
U(r) = \underbrace{( ) ( ) ( \ }_{4\pi (8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ C}^2 J^{-1} m^- 1 ) ( } ) =
$$
\nConvert to kJ/mol

\n
$$
U(r) = -9.724 \times 10^{-19} J \times \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \times \underline{\hspace{2cm}} =
$$



The discrepancy results from the following approximations:

- ignored repulsive interactions. Result:  $\Delta E_d$  than experimental value.
- treated  $Na^+$  and  $Cl^-$  as  $\_$ .
- ignored quantum mechanics.

This simple model is applicable only to very ionic bonds.

#### **III. POLAR COVALENT BONDS**

Perfectly-ionic and perfectly-covalent bonds are the two extremes of bonding. In reality, most bonds fall somewhere in the middle.

A **polar covalent** bond is an \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ sharing of electrons between two atoms with different electronegativities  $(\chi)$ .

Consider H-Cl versus H-H (Pauling electronegativity values are given):

H - Cl 
$$
\chi_H = 2.2
$$
  $\chi_{Cl} = 3.2$ 

$$
H^{\delta_+}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}^{\delta_-}
$$

where δ is fraction of a full charge (e) that is asymmetrically distributed.

H – H  $\mu$  is a "perfectly" covalent bond,  $\delta = 0$ .

# **Dipole moment**

Asymmetric charge distribution results in an electric dipole, two unlike charges separated by a finite distance.

We can quantify charge separation by defining a dipole moment,  $\vec{\mu}$ .



In chemistry, the arrow points toward the negative charge in a polar bond.



In large organic molecules and in biomolecules, such as proteins, we often consider the number of polar groups within the molecule.

