

## Lecture #9: Harmonic Oscillator: Creation and Annihilation Operators

Last time

Simplified Schrödinger equation:  $\xi = \alpha^{1/2}x$ ,  $\alpha = (k\mu)^{1/2}/\hbar$

$$\left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \xi^2 - \frac{2E}{\hbar\omega} \right] \psi = 0 \quad (\text{dimensionless})$$

reduced to Hermite differential equation by factoring out asymptotic form of  $\psi$ . The asymptotic  $\psi$  is valid as  $\xi^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . The exact  $\psi_v$  is

$$\psi_v(x) = N_v \overset{\text{Hermite polynomials}}{H_v}(\xi) e^{-\xi^2/2} \quad v = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

orthonormal set of basis functions

$$E_v = \hbar\omega(v + 1/2), v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

even  $v$ , even function

odd  $v$ , odd function

$v = \#$  of internal nodes

what do you expect about  $\langle \hat{T} \rangle$ ?  $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ ? (from classical mechanics)

pictures

- \* zero-point energy
- \* tails in non-classical regions
- \* nodes more closely spaced near  $x = 0$  where classical velocity is largest
- \* envelope (what is this? maxima of all oscillations)
- \* semiclassical: good for pictures, insight, estimates of  $\int \psi_v^* \hat{O} \psi_v$  integrals without solving Schrödinger equation

$$p_E(x) = p_{\text{classical}}(x) = [2\mu(E - V(x))]^{1/2}$$

envelope of  $\psi(x)$  in classical region (classical mechanics)

$$\left( \psi^* \psi dx \underset{\text{velocity}}{\propto} \frac{1}{v}, |\psi(x)|_{\text{envelope}} = 2^{1/2} \left[ \frac{2k/\pi^2}{E - V(x)} \right]^{1/4} \text{ for H. O.} \right)$$

spacing of nodes (quantum mechanics): # nodes between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is

$$\frac{2}{h} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} p_E(x) dx \quad (\text{because } \lambda(x) = h/p(x) \text{ and node spacing is } \lambda/2)$$

$$\# \text{ of levels below } E: \frac{2}{h} \int_{x_-(E)}^{x_+(E)} p_E(x) dx \quad \text{“Semi-classical quantization rule”}$$

“Action ( $h$ ) integral.”

## Non-Lecture

Intensities of Vibrational fundamentals and overtones from

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 x + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2 x^2 + \dots$$

$$\int dx \psi_v^* x^n \psi_{v+m} \quad \text{“selection rules”}$$

$$m = n, n - 2, \dots -n$$

Today some amazing results from  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger, \hat{\mathbf{a}}$  (creation and annihilation operators)

- \* dimensionless  $\hat{x}, \hat{p} \rightarrow$  exploit universal aspects of problem — *separate universal from specific*  $\rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$  annihilation/creation or “ladder” or “step-up” operators
- \* integral- and wavefunction-free Quantum Mechanics
- \* all  $E_v$  and  $\psi_v$  for Harmonic Oscillator using  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$
- \* values of integrals involving all integer powers of  $\hat{x}$  and/or  $\hat{p}$
- \* “selection rules”
- \* integrals evaluated on sight rather than by using integral tables.

1. Create dimensionless  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  operators from  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$

$$\hat{x} = \left[ \frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega} \right]^{1/2} \hat{\tilde{x}}, \quad \text{units} = \left[ \frac{m\ell^2 t^{-1}}{m t^{-1}} \right]^{1/2} = \ell \quad \left( \text{recall } \xi = \alpha^{1/2} x = \left[ \frac{k\mu}{\hbar^2} \right]^{1/4} x \right)$$

$$\hat{p} = [\hbar\mu\omega]^{1/2} \hat{\tilde{p}}, \quad \text{units} = [m\ell^2 t^{-1} m t^{-1}]^{1/2} = m\ell t^{-1} = p$$

replace  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  by dimensionless operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\mu} + \frac{1}{2} k \hat{x}^2 = \frac{\hbar\mu\omega}{2\mu} \hat{\tilde{p}}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \hat{\tilde{x}}^2 \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left[ \hat{\tilde{p}}^2 + \hat{\tilde{x}}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left[ (i\hat{\tilde{p}} + \hat{\tilde{x}})(-i\hat{\tilde{p}} + \hat{\tilde{x}}) \right]? \end{aligned}$$

factor this?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 2^{1/2} \hat{\mathbf{a}} & & 2^{1/2} \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \end{array}$$

does this work? No, this attempt at factorization generates a term  $i[\hat{\tilde{p}}, \hat{\tilde{x}}]$ , which must be subtracted

$$\text{out: } \hat{H} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left( 2\hat{\mathbf{a}}\hat{\mathbf{a}} - i \left[ \hat{\tilde{p}}, \hat{\tilde{x}} \right] \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{a}} &= 2^{-1/2} (\hat{x} + i\hat{p}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger &= 2^{-1/2} (\hat{x} - i\hat{p}) \\ \hat{x} &= 2^{-1/2} (\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger) \\ \hat{p} &= i2^{-1/2} (\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \hat{\mathbf{a}})\end{aligned}$$

be careful about  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \neq 0$

We will find that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{a}}\psi_\nu &= (\nu)^{1/2} \psi_{\nu-1} && \text{annihilates one quantum} \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger\psi_\nu &= (\nu+1)^{1/2} \psi_{\nu+1} && \text{creates one quantum} \\ \hat{H} &= \hbar\omega(\hat{\mathbf{a}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - 1/2) = \hbar\omega(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger\hat{\mathbf{a}} + 1/2).\end{aligned}$$

This is astonishingly convenient. It presages a form of operator algebra that proceeds without ever looking at the form of  $\psi(x)$  and does not require direct evaluation of integrals of the form

$$A_{ij} = \int dx \psi_i^* \hat{A} \psi_j.$$

2. Now we must go back and repair our attempt to factor  $\hat{H}$  for the harmonic oscillator.

Instructive examples of operator algebra.

\* What is  $(i\hat{p} + \hat{x})(-i\hat{p} + \hat{x})$ ?

$$\hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2 + \underbrace{i\hat{p}\hat{x} - i\hat{x}\hat{p}}_{i[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]}$$

Recall  $[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] = -i\hbar$ . (work this out by  $\hat{p}\hat{x}f - \hat{x}\hat{p}f = [\hat{p}, \hat{x}]f$ ).

What is  $i[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}i[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] &= i[\hbar m\omega]^{-1/2} \left[ \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \right]^{-1/2} [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] \\ &= i[\hbar^2]^{-1/2} (-i\hbar) = +1.\end{aligned}$$

So we were *not quite* successful in factoring  $\hat{H}$ . We have to subtract  $(1/2)\hbar\omega$ :

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left( \hat{\mathbf{a}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\substack{\text{left} \\ \text{over}}} \right)$$

This form for  $\hat{H}$  is going to turn out to be very useful.

\* Another trick, what about  $[\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger] = ?$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger] &= [2^{-1/2}(i\hat{p} + \hat{x}), 2^{-1/2}(-i\hat{p} + \hat{x})] = \frac{i}{2}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] + \frac{-i}{2}[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So we have some nice results.  $\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left[ \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \right] = \hbar\omega \left[ \hat{\mathbf{a}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \right]$

3. Now we will derive some amazing results *almost* without ever looking at a wavefunction.

If  $\psi_v$  is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  with energy  $E_v$ , then  $\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v$  is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  belonging to eigenvalue  $E_v + \hbar\omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v) &= \hbar\omega \left[ \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right] \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v \\ &= \hbar\omega \left[ \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^\dagger \right] \psi_v \\ \text{Factor } \hat{a}^\dagger \text{ out front} \\ &= \hat{a}^\dagger \hbar\omega \left[ \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \right] \psi_v \\ \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger &= [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = 1 + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \\ \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v) &= \hat{a}^\dagger \hbar\omega \underbrace{\left[ \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right]}_{\hat{H} + \hbar\omega} \psi_v\end{aligned}$$

and  $\hat{H}\psi_v = E_v \psi_v$ , thus

$$\hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v) = \hat{a}^\dagger (E_v + \hbar\omega) \psi_v = (E_v + \hbar\omega)(\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v)$$

Therefore  $\hat{a}^\dagger \psi_v$  is eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  with eigenvalue  $E_v + \hbar\omega$ .

So every time we apply  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  to  $\psi_v$ , we get a new eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  and a new eigenvalue increased by  $\hbar\omega$  from the previous eigenfunction.  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  *creates* one quantum of vibrational excitation.

Similar result for  $\hat{a} \psi_v$ .

$$\hat{H}(\hat{a} \psi_v) = (E_v - \hbar\omega)(\hat{a} \psi_v).$$

$\hat{a} \psi_v$  is eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  that belongs to eigenvalue  $E_v - \hbar\omega$ .  $\hat{a}$  destroys one quantum of vibrational excitation.

We call  $\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}$  “ladder operators” or creation and annihilation operators (or step-up, step-down).

Now, suppose I apply  $\hat{a}$  to  $\psi_v$  many times. We know there must be a lowest energy eigenstate for the harmonic oscillator because  $E_v \geq V(0)$ .

We have a ladder and we know there must be a lowest rung on the ladder. If we try to step below the lowest rung we get

$$\hat{a} \psi_{\min} = 0$$

$$2^{-1/2} [i\hat{p} + \hat{x}] \psi_{\min} = 0$$

Now we bring  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  back.

$$\left[ i(2\hbar\mu\omega)^{-1/2} \hat{p} + \left( \frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \hat{x} \right] \psi_{\min} = 0$$

$$\left[ + \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega} \right)^{1/2} \frac{d}{dx} + \left( \frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} x \right] \psi_{\min} = 0$$

$$\frac{d\psi_{\min}}{dx} = - \left( \frac{2\mu\omega}{\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} x \psi_{\min}$$

$$= - \frac{\mu\omega}{\hbar} x \psi_{\min}.$$

This is a first-order, linear, ordinary differential equation.

What kind of function has a first derivative that is equal to a negative constant times the variable times the function itself?

$$\frac{de^{-cx^2}}{dx} = -2cxe^{-cx^2}$$

$$c = \frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar}$$

$$\psi_{\min} = N_{\min} e^{-\frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar}x^2}. \quad \text{(A Gaussian)}$$

The lowest vibrational level has eigenfunction,  $\psi_{\min}(x)$ , which is a simple Gaussian, centered at  $x = 0$ , and with tails extending into the classically forbidden  $E < V(x)$  regions.

Now normalize:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \underbrace{\Psi_{\min}^* \Psi_{\min}}_{\substack{\text{give factor of} \\ 2 \text{ in exponent}}} = 1 = N_{\min}^2 \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{\mu\omega}{\hbar}x^2}}_{\substack{\pi^{1/2} \\ (\mu\omega/\hbar)^{1/2}}}$$

$$\Psi_{\min}(x) = \left(\frac{\mu\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar}x^2}$$

[recall asymptotic factor of  $\psi(x)$ :  $e^{-\xi^2/2}$ ]

This is the lowest energy normalized wavefunction. It has zero nodes.

---

### NON-LECTURE

Gaussian integrals

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-r^2x^2} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2r}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx x e^{-r^2x^2} = \frac{1}{2r^2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx x^2 e^{-r^2x^2} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{4r^3}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx x^{2n+1} e^{-r^2x^2} = \frac{n!}{2r^{2n+2}}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx x^{2n} e^{-r^2x^2} = \pi^{1/2} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^{n+1} r^{2n+1}}$$

By inspection, using dimensional analysis, all of these integrals seem OK.

We need to clean up a few loose ends.

1. Could there be several independent ladders built on linearly independent  $\Psi_{\min_1}$ ,  $\Psi_{\min_2}$  ?

Assertion: for any 1-D potential it is possible to show that the energy eigenfunctions are arranged so that the quantum numbers increase in step with the number of internal nodes.

particle in box  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

# nodes = 0, 1,  $\dots$ , which translates into the general rule

# nodes =  $n - 1$

harmonic oscillator  $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

# nodes =  $v$

We have found a  $\psi_{\min}$  that has zero nodes. It must be the lowest energy eigenstate. Call it  $v = 0$ .

2. What is the lowest energy? We know that energy increases in steps of  $\hbar\omega$ .

$$E_{v+n} - E_v = n\hbar\omega.$$

We get the energy of  $\psi_{\min}$  by plugging  $\psi_{\min}$  into the Schrödinger equation.

BUT WE USE A TRICK:

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left( \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\hat{H}\psi_{\min} = \hbar\omega \left( \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi_{\min}$$

$$\text{but } \hat{\mathbf{a}}\psi_{\min} = 0$$

$$\text{so } \hat{H}\psi_{\min} = \hbar\omega \left( 0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi_{\min}$$

$$E_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega!$$

Now we also know

$$E_{\min+n} - E_{\min} = n\hbar\omega$$

OR

$$E_{0+v} - E_0 = v\hbar\omega, \text{ thus } E_v = \hbar\omega(v + 1/2)$$

---

**NON-LECTURE**

3. We know

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \psi_v = c_v \psi_{v+1}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_v = d_v \psi_{v-1}$$

what are  $c_v$  and  $d_v$ ?



$$\widehat{H} = \hbar\omega \left( \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \hbar\omega \left( \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\frac{\widehat{H}}{\hbar\omega} - \frac{1}{2} = \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \frac{\widehat{H}}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{1}{2} = \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$$

$$\left( \frac{\widehat{H}}{\hbar\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi_\nu = \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi_\nu = \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu = \nu \psi_\nu$$

$\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}}$  is “number operator”,  $\widehat{N}$ .

for  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$  we use the trick

$$\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \underbrace{[\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger]}_{+1} = \widehat{N} + 1$$

Now  $\int dx \psi_\nu^* \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \psi_\nu = \int dx |\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \psi_\nu|^2$  because  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$  is Hermitian

Prescription for operating to the left is  $\psi_\nu^* \widehat{\mathbf{a}} = (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^* \psi_\nu)^* = (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \psi_\nu)^*$

$$\nu + 1 = |c_\nu|^2$$

$$c_\nu = [\nu + 1]^{1/2}$$

similarly for  $d_\nu$  in  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu = d_\nu \psi_{\nu-1}$

$$\int dx \psi_\nu^* \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu = \nu$$

Make phase choice and then verify by putting in  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$ .

$$\int dx |\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu|^2 = |d_\nu|^2$$

$$d_\nu = \nu^{1/2}$$

Again, verify phase choice

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \psi_\nu &= (\nu + 1)^{1/2} \psi_{\nu+1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}} \psi_\nu &= (\nu)^{1/2} \psi_{\nu-1} \\ \hat{N} &= \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} \\ \hat{N} \psi_\nu &= \nu \psi_\nu \\ [\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger] &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Remember these five exceptionally important equations!

Now we are ready to exploit the  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger, \hat{\mathbf{a}}$  operators.

Suppose we want to look at vibrational transition intensities.

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 \hat{x} + \mu_2 \hat{x}^2 / 2 + \dots$$

use  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$

More generally, suppose we want to compute an integral involving some integer power of  $\hat{x}$  (or  $\hat{p}$ ).

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = 2^{-1/2} (-i\hat{p} + \hat{x})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = 2^{-1/2} (i\hat{p} + \hat{x})$$

$$\hat{N} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} \quad (\text{number operator})$$

$$\hat{x} = 2^{-1/2} (\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger + \hat{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$\hat{p} = 2^{-1/2} i(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \hat{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$\hat{x} = \left[ \frac{\mu\omega}{\hbar} \right]^{-1/2} \hat{x} = \left[ \frac{2\mu\omega}{\hbar} \right]^{-1/2} (\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger + \hat{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$\hat{p} = [\hbar\mu\omega]^{1/2} \hat{p} = \left[ \frac{\hbar\mu\omega}{2} \right]^{1/2} i(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \hat{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$\widehat{x^2} = \frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger + \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = \frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}[\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}} \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger] = \frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}[\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 + 2\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + 1]$$

$$\widehat{p^2} = -\frac{\hbar\mu\omega}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 - \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} - \hat{\mathbf{a}} \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger) = \frac{-\hbar\mu\omega}{2}[\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 - 2\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} - 1]$$

etc.

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{\widehat{p^2}}{2\mu} + \frac{k}{2}\widehat{x^2} = -\frac{\hbar\omega}{4}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 - 2\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} - 1) + \frac{\hbar\omega}{4}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2 + 2\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + 1) = \hbar\omega(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{a}} + 1/2)$$

as expected. The terms in  $\widehat{H}$  involving  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2$  exactly cancel out.

Look at an  $(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^m (\hat{\mathbf{a}})^n$  operator and, from  $m - n$ , read off the selection rule for  $\Delta v$ . Integral is not zero when the selection rule is satisfied.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

5.61 Physical Chemistry  
Fall 2017

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.