

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.080 / 6.089 Great Ideas in Theoretical Computer Science  
Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

## 6.080/6.089 Problem Set 3

Assigned: Thursday, March 13, 2008 / Due: Thursday, March 20, 2008

1. Consider the following problem:

- Given a positive integer  $M$ , as well as a list of positive integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , find the closest you can get to  $M$  by adding a subset of  $x_i$ 's without exceeding  $M$ . In other words, find the maximum of  $\sum_{i \in S} x_i$  over all subsets  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sum_{i \in S} x_i \leq M$ .

In the general case—where  $M$  could be much larger than  $n$ —it is known that the above problem is NP-complete. On the other hand, describe an algorithm to solve this problem whose running time is a polynomial function of  $M$  and  $n$ . [Hint: Use dynamic programming, the same basic technique we used in class to solve the Longest Increasing Subsequence problem. In other words, show how a solution to the whole problem can be built recursively out of solutions to a reasonable number of subproblems.]

2. **“The Equivalence of Search and Decision Problems.”** Suppose there’s a polynomial-time algorithm to decide whether a given Boolean formula  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has a satisfying truth assignment. (In other words, suppose  $P = NP$ .) Show that this implies that we can actually *find* a satisfying assignment for any Boolean formula  $\varphi$  in polynomial time, whenever one exists. [Hint: Give an algorithm that constructs a satisfying assignment for  $\varphi$ , one variable at a time, repeatedly calling the decision algorithm as an oracle]
3. Suppose problem  $X$  is proved NP-complete, by a polynomial-time reduction that maps size- $n$  instances of  $SAT$  to size- $n^3$  instances of problem  $X$ . And suppose that someday, some genius manages to prove that  $SAT$  requires  $\Omega(c^n)$  time, for some constant  $c > 1$ . Then what can you conclude about the time complexity of problem  $X$ ?
4. Let  $EXACT4SAT$  be the following problem:
- Given a Boolean formula  $\varphi$ , consisting of an AND of clauses involving exactly 4 distinct literals each (such as  $(x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee \neg x_5 \vee x_6)$ ), decide whether  $\varphi$  is satisfiable.

Show that  $EXACT4SAT$  is NP-complete. You can use the fact, which we proved in class, that  $3SAT$  is NP-complete.