

MARKOV CHAINS II

Contents

1. Markov chains with a single recurrence class
2. Uniqueness of Stationary Distributions

1 Markov chains with a single recurrence class

Recall the relations $\rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ introduced in the previous lecture for the class of finite state Markov chains. Recall that we defined a state i to be recurrent if whenever $i \rightarrow j$ we also have $j \rightarrow i$, namely $i \leftrightarrow j$. We have observed that \leftrightarrow is an equivalency relation, so that set of recurrent states is partitioned into equivalency classes R_1, \dots, R_r . The remaining states \mathcal{T} are transient.

Lemma 1. For every $l = 1, \dots, r$ and every $i \in R_l, j \notin R_l$ we must have $p_{i,j} = 0$.

This means that once the chain is in some recurrent class R it stays there forever.

Proof. The proof is simple: $p_{i,j} > 0$ implies $i \rightarrow j$. Since i is recurrent then also $j \rightarrow i$ implying $j \in R$ - contradiction. \square

Introduce the following basic random quantities. Given states i, j let

$$T_i = \min\{n \geq 1 : X_n = i | X_0 = i\}.$$

In case no such n exists, we set $T_i = \infty$. Thus the range of T_i is $\mathcal{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. The quantity is called the *the first passage time*. Let $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}[T_i]$, possibly with $\mu_i = \infty$. This is called mean recurrence time of the state i .

Lemma 2. For every state $i \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathbb{P}(X_n = i, \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. Namely, almost surely, after some finite time n_0 , the chain will never return to i . In addition $\mathbb{E}[T_i] = \infty$.

Proof. By definition there exists a state j such that $i \rightarrow j$, but $j \not\rightarrow i$. It then follows that $\mathbb{P}(T_i = \infty) > 0$ implying $\mathbb{E}[T_i] = \infty$. Now, let us establish the first part.

Let $I_{i,m}$ be the indicator of the event that the M.c. returned to state i at least m times. Notice that $\mathbb{P}(I_{i,1}) = \mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty) < 1$. Also by M.c. property we have $\mathbb{P}(I_{i,m} | I_{i,m-1}) = \mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty)$, as conditioning that at some point the M.c. returned to state i $m-1$ times does not impact its likelihood to return to this state again. Also notice $I_{i,m} \subset I_{i,m-1}$. Thus $\mathbb{P}(I_{i,m}) = \mathbb{P}(I_{i,m} | I_{i,m-1}) \mathbb{P}(I_{i,m-1}) = \mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty) \mathbb{P}(I_{i,m-1}) = \dots = \mathbb{P}^m(T_i < \infty)$. Since $\mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty) < 1$, then by continuity of probability property we obtain $\mathbb{P}(\cap_m I_{i,m}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(I_{i,m}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^m(T_i < \infty) = 0$. Notice that the event $\cap_m I_{i,m}$ is precisely the event $X_n = i, \text{ i.o.}$ \square

Exercise 1. Show that $\mathcal{T} \neq \mathcal{X}$. Namely, in every finite state M.c. there exists at least one recurrent state.

Exercise 2. Let $i \in \mathcal{T}$ and let π be an arbitrary stationary distribution. Establish that $\pi_i = 0$.

Exercise 3. Suppose M.c. has one recurrent class R . Show that for every $i \in R$ $\mathbb{P}(X_n = i, \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. Moreover, show that there exists $0 < q < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(T_i > t) \leq Cq^t$ for all $t \geq 0$. As a result, show that $\mathbb{E}[T_i] < \infty$.

We now focus on the family of Markov chains with only one recurrent class. Namely $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T} \cup R$. If in addition $\mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, then such a M.c. is called *irreducible*.

2 Uniqueness of the stationary distribution

We now establish a fundamental result on M.c. with a single recurrence class.

Theorem 1. A finite state M.c. with a single recurrence class has a unique stationary distribution π , which is given as $\pi_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i}$ for all states i . Specifically, $\pi_i > 0$ iff the state i is recurrent.

Proof. Let P be the transition matrix of the chain. We let the state space be $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, N\}$. We fix an arbitrary recurrent state k . We know that one exists by Exercise 1. Assume $X_0 = k$. Let N_i be the number of visits to state i between two successive visits to state k . In case $i = k$, the last visit is counted but the initial is not. Namely, in the special case $i = k$ the number of visits is 1 with probability one. Let $\rho_i(k) = \mathbb{E}[N_i]$. Consider the event $\{X_n = i, T_k \geq n\}$ and consider the indicator function $\sum_{n \geq 1} I_{X_n = i, T_k \geq n} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq T_k} I_{X_n = i}$. Notice that this sum is precisely N_i . Namely,

$$\rho_i(k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k). \quad (1)$$

Then using the formula $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n)$ for integer valued r.v., we obtain

$$\sum_i \rho_i(k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) = \mathbb{E}[T_k] = \mu_k. \quad (2)$$

Since k is recurrent, then by Exercise 3, $\mu_k < \infty$ implying $\rho_i(k) < \infty$. We let $\rho(k)$ denote the vector with components $\rho_i(k)$.

Lemma 3. $\rho(k)$ satisfies $\rho^T(k) = \rho^T(k)P$. In particular, for every recurrent state k , $\pi_i = \frac{\rho_i(k)}{\mu_k}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ defines a stationary distribution.

Proof. The second part follows from (2) and the fact that $\mu_k < \infty$. Now we prove the first part. We have for every $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) &= \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{P}(X_n = i, X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{P}(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n - 1 | X_0 = k) p_{j,i} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Observe that $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = i, T_k \geq 1 | X_0 = k) = p_{k,i}$. We now sum the (3) over n and apply it to (1) to obtain

$$\rho_i(k) = p_{k,i} + \sum_{j \neq k} \sum_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n - 1 | X_0 = k) p_{j,i}$$

We recognize $\sum_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n-1 | X_0 = k)$ as $\rho_j(k)$. Using $\rho_k(k) = 1$ we obtain

$$\rho_i(k) = \rho_k(k)p_{k,i} + \sum_{j \neq k} \rho_j(k)p_{j,i} = \sum_j \rho_j(k)p_{j,i}$$

which is in vector form precisely $\rho^T(k) = \rho^T(k)P$. \square

We now return to the proof of the theorem. Let π denote an *arbitrary* stationary distribution of our M.c. We know one exists by Lemma 3 and, independently by our linear programming based proof. By Exercise 2 we already know that $\pi_i = 1/\mu_i = 0$ for every transient state i .

We now show that it must be that $\pi_k = 1/\mu_k$ for every recurrent state k . In particular, the stationary distribution is unique. Assume that at time zero we start with distribution π . Namely $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i) = \pi_i$ for all i . Of course this implies that $\mathbb{P}(X_n = i)$ is also π_i for all n . On the other hand, fix any recurrent state k and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k \pi_k &= \mathbb{E}[T_k | X_0 = k] \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq n, X_0 = k). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $\mathbb{P}(T_k \geq 1, X_0 = k) = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k)$ and for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq n, X_0 = k) &= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k, X_j \neq k, 1 \leq j \leq n-1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_j \neq k, 1 \leq j \leq n-1) - \mathbb{P}(X_j \neq k, 0 \leq j \leq n-1) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(X_j \neq k, 0 \leq j \leq n-2) - \mathbb{P}(X_j \neq k, 0 \leq j \leq n-1) \\ &= a_{n-2} - a_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_n = \mathbb{P}(X_j \neq k, 0 \leq j \leq n)$ and (*) follows from stationarity of π . Now $a_0 = \mathbb{P}(X_0 \neq k)$. Putting together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k \pi_k &= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k) + \sum_{n \geq 2} (a_{n-2} - a_{n-1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = k) + \mathbb{P}(X_0 \neq k) - \lim_n a_n \\ &= 1 - \lim_n a_n \end{aligned}$$

But by continuity of probabilities $\lim_n a_n = \mathbb{P}(X_n \neq k, \forall n)$. By Exercise 3, the state k , being recurrent is visited infinitely often with probability one. We conclude that $\lim_n a_n = 0$, which gives $\mu_k \pi_k = 1$, implying that π_k is uniquely defined as $1/\mu_k$. \square

3 Ergodic theorem

Let $N_i(t)$ denote the number of times the state i is visited during the times $0, 1, \dots, t$. What can be said about the behavior of $N_i(t)/t$ when t is large? The answer turns out to be very simple: it is π_i . These type of results are called *ergodic* properties, as they show how the time average of the system, namely $N_i(t)/t$ relates to the spatial average, namely π_i .

Theorem 2. For arbitrary starting state $X_0 = k$ and for every state i ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_i(t)}{t} = \pi_i$$

almost surely. Also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[N_i(t)]}{t} = \pi_i.$$

Proof. Suppose $X_0 = k$. If i is a transient state, then, as we have established, almost surely after some finite time, the chain will never enter i , meaning $\lim_t N_i(t)/t = 0$ almost surely. Since also $\pi_i = 0$, then we have established the required equality for the case when i is a transient state.

Suppose now i is a recurrent state. Let T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots denote the time of successive visits to i . Then the sequence $T_n, n \geq 2$ is i.i.d. Also T_1 is independent from the rest of the sequence, although its distribution is different from the one of $T_m, m \geq 2$ since we have started the chain from k which is in general different from i . By the definition of $N_i(t)$ we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq N_i(t)} T_m \leq t < \sum_{1 \leq m \leq N_i(t)+1} T_m$$

from which we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{1 \leq m \leq N_i(t)} T_m}{N_i(t)} \leq \frac{t}{N_i(t)} < \frac{\sum_{1 \leq m \leq N_i(t)+1} T_m}{N_i(t)+1} = \frac{N_i(t)+1}{N_i(t)}. \quad (5)$$

We know from Exercise 3 that $\mathbb{E}[T_m] < \infty, m \geq 2$. Using a similar approach it can be shown that $\mathbb{E}[T_1] < \infty$, in particular $T_1 < \infty$ a.s. Applying SLLN we have that almost surely

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{2 \leq m \leq n} T_m}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{2 \leq m \leq n} T_m}{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n} = \mathbb{E}[T_2]$$

which further implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} T_m}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{2 \leq m \leq n} T_m}{n} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_1}{n} = \mathbb{E}[T_2]$$

almost surely. □

Since i is a recurrent state then by Exercise 3, $N_i(t) \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Combining the preceding identity with (5) we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{N_i(t)} = \mathbb{E}[T_2] = \mu_i,$$

from which we obtain $\lim_t N_i(t)/t = \mu_i^{-1} = \pi_i$ almost surely.

To establish the convergence in expectation, notice that $N_i(t) \leq t$ almost surely, implying $N_i(t)/t \leq 1$. Applying bounded convergence theorem, we obtain that $\lim_t \mathbb{E}[N_i(t)]/t = \pi_i$, and the proof is complete.

4 Markov chains with multiple recurrence classes

How does the theory extend to the case when the M.c. has several recurrence classes R_1, \dots, R_r ? The summary of the theory is as follows (the proofs are very similar to the case of single recurrent class case and is omitted). It turns out that such a M.c. chain possesses r stationary distributions $\pi^i = (\pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_N^i), 1 \leq i \leq r$, each "concentrating" on the class R_i . Namely for each i and each state $k \notin R_i$ we have $\pi_k^i = 0$. The i -th stationary distribution is described by $\pi_k^i = 1/\mu_k$ for all $k \in R_i$ and where μ_k is the mean return time from state $k \in R_j$ into itself. Intuitively, the stationary distribution π^i corresponds to the case when the M.c. "lives" entirely in the class R_i . One can prove that the family of all of the stationary distributions of such a M.c. can be obtained by taking all possible convex combinations of $\pi^i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, but we omit the proof. (Exercise: show that a convex combination of stationary distributions is a stationary distribution).

References

- [1] G. R. Grimmett and D. R. Stirzaker, *Probability and Random Processes*, Oxford University Press, 3rd edition, 2001.
- [2] D. P. Bertsekas and J. N. Tsitsiklis, *Introduction to probability*, Athena Scientific, 2002.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

6.436J / 15.085J Fundamentals of Probability
Fall 2018

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>