

Discuss set cover

- When take union bound, also include one term for odds that too many sets are chosen

0.1 Treewidth

Imagine a canonical recursive solution on a graph

- Pick a vertex
- For each possible setting of it, compute optimum solution on rest of graph
- Kind of what we did in bounded search tree for FPT
- What goes wrong? Exponential search space. New problem for each setting of variable

Perhaps we can “memoize” the recursion, turn into dynamic programming?

- In many graph problems, feasibility is determined by “local” constraints on vertices and who they interact with
 - Graph coloring
 - Max independent set
 - vertex cover
 - matching
- edges in graph represent “interactions” constraining joint behavior of neighboring variables
- Perhaps only need to memoize one answer for each state of neighbors, ignoring rest of graph
- If no variable interacts with many others, get something exponential in the degree
- Intuition: maximum matching in a tree
 - Root tree anywhere
 - Compute, for v with subtree T , max matching in T with v matched and unmatched
 - Can evaluate for v given children tables of v

Elimination orderings

- Represent an “unravelling” of the graph one vertex at a time, with plans to memoize

- Problem to be solved: when I eliminate a vertex, I create hidden interactions between neighbors of that vertex
- To represent those interactions, need to add edges between all neighbors.
- If can do so without ever creating large neighbor set, there is hope!

Treewidth

- **Induced Treewidth:** size of largest neighbor set created by given elimination ordering
- **graph treewidth:** induced treewidth of best (smallest) elimination ordering
- Treewidth 1: tree
- Treewidth 2: series-parallel graphs

SAT

- Treewidth not just for problems on graphs
- Use graph to reflect interactions between any variables
- eg, edge between vars if share a clause
- Maintain truth-table for each clause—list of satisfying assignments for it
- Take eliminated vertex/variable v
- Combine its clause's truth tables—combined clause is happy with a given assignments if original set are all happy for *some* setting of v
- Reduced formula is SAT iff original is
- Size of new clause: degree of v
- So, if small treewidth, never create large clause
- In which case, easy to maintain tables
- Runtime: n eliminations, each involving about 2^w work.