Gettier 'Is justified, true belief knowledge?'

1. What are the two points that Gettier notes before giving his examples? Is there any reason to doubt either one?
2. How do either of the following fare as a fix for the JTB account of knowledge?

- No False Lemmas: S does not base their belief in P on a false assumption.
- No True Defeaters: There is no true proposition Q such that learning Q would defeat S's justification for P.

Does any other condition do better?

3. What is the value (if any) of trying to find necessary and sufficient conditions for knowledge? Does it matter if we can't do it?

Nozick *Philosophical Investigations*

1. Explain Nozick's subjunctive tracking account of knowledge and the arguments he gives for it.
2. How does Nozick's account lead him to reject the thesis that knowledge is closed under known implication? Is this a tolerable conclusion?
3. Supposing Nozick's view is right, what has he achieved in response to the skeptical problem? How satisfying is it? What should we expect from an "answer to the skeptic"?

24.401 Proseminar in Philosophy II
Spring 2020