

4/26 10 U from last lecture:

$p(x, a)$  = partial type over  $a$  with boundedly many solutions.

$B = \{b \cdot p(b, a)\}$  is bounded, we look for a code.

●  $\Phi = \{\varphi(x, y) \mid x, y \text{ in the same sort}\} : T \vdash \forall x \exists \varphi(x, x)$

It follows:  $\forall \varphi \in \Phi \exists n_\varphi$  maximal st.  $\bigwedge_{i < n_\varphi} p(x_i, a) \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j < n_\varphi} \varphi(x_i, x_j)$   
consistent.

Continued on next page...

$$\text{Define } E(z, z') := (z = z') \vee (z, z' \models \text{tp}(a) \wedge \\ \wedge \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} [\exists x_{< n_\varphi} \bigwedge_{i < n_\varphi} p(x_i, z) \wedge p(x_i, z') \wedge \\ \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j < n_\varphi} \varphi(x_i, x_j)])$$

Claim:  $E(a, a') \Leftrightarrow a' \equiv a \wedge B = \{b \mid p(b, a')\}$ .

Proof:  $\Leftarrow \checkmark$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Clearly  $E(a, a') \Rightarrow a \equiv a'$ .

By symmetry between  $a, a'$  it suffices to show  $B \subseteq \dots$

So let  $b \in B$ . Assume that  $\not\models p(b, a')$ .

Let  $q(x, z) := \text{tp}(b, a')$ .

Then  $q(x, a') \wedge p(y, a') \wedge x = y$  is inconsistent.

$\Rightarrow \exists z [q(x, z) \wedge p(y, z)] \wedge x = y$  is inconsistent

Therefore  $\exists z [q(x, z) \wedge p(y, z)] \wedge \varphi(x, y) \in \overline{\Phi}$ .

Since  $E(a, a')$ , we have  $\exists b_0, \dots, b_{n_\varphi-1}$  st.

$$\forall i < n_\varphi \quad p(b_i, a) \wedge p(b_i, a') \quad (**)$$

$$\forall i < j < n_\varphi \quad \varphi(b_i, b_j).$$

Then  $(*) + (**)$   $\Rightarrow \forall i < n_\varphi \quad \varphi(b, b_i)$ .

Since  $p(b, a)$ , contradicts maximality of  $n_p$ .  $\square$

Another semi-100:

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cofinal class of sets, i.e.:

- ① it is invariant: if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  &  $B \equiv A$  then  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- ②  $\forall A \exists B$  s.t.  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Then the "Freer Theorem" holds even if we only assume  
 $\uparrow$  symmetry, trans, ...  $\Rightarrow$  simplicity.  
the independence theorem for types over distinguished sets,  
provided the class of distinguished sets is cofinal.

Only need to reprove  $a \downarrow_c' b \Rightarrow a \downarrow_c b$ .

Proof Given  $a \downarrow_c' b$  and a  $c$ -indiscernible sequence  $(b_i : i < \omega)$   
in  $tp(b/c)$ .

Let  $p(x, y) = tp(a, b/c)$ .

Need to show  $\bigwedge p(x, b_i)$  is consistent.

We're going to reduce to the case where  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  
 $(b_i : i < \omega)$  is a Morley sequence /  $c$ .

Set  $\kappa = |T|^+$  (we need  $cf(\kappa)$  big enough).

Extend the sequence to  $(b_i : i \leq \kappa)$ .

Find an increasing sequence  $(c_i : i < \kappa)$  st.  $c_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and:

- $c \subseteq c_0$
- $b_i \in c_{i+1}$
- $(b_{i+j} : j \leq \kappa)$  is  $c_i$ -indiscernible.

Find it by induction:

$$\text{Define } d_i = \begin{cases} d_i = c & \text{if } i=0. \\ d_i = c_j \cup b_j & \text{if } i=j+1. \\ d_i = \bigcup_{j < i} c_j & \text{if } i \text{ limit} \end{cases}$$

Find  $c'_i \in \mathcal{A}$  st.  $d \subseteq c'_i$  (by cofinality).

We know by ind. hyp.  $(b_{i+j} : j \leq \kappa)$  is  $d$ -indiscernible.  
[case by case; easy]

By extn/ext find  $(b'_{i+j} : j \leq \kappa)$  which is  $c'_i$ -indiscernible and similar to over  $d$ .

Find  $c_i$  st.  $(c_i, b'_{i+j} : j \leq \kappa) \equiv c'_i, (b'_{i+j} : j \leq \kappa)$

By invariance,  $c_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . So we have our  $(c_i : i < \kappa)$ .

So now  $\exists A \subseteq \bigcup_{i < \kappa} c_i$  st.  $|A| \leq |T|$ ,  $b_{i\kappa} \downarrow_A \bigcup_{i < \kappa} c_i$

But by cofinality,  $\exists i$  st.  $A \subseteq c_i \Rightarrow b_{i\kappa} \downarrow_{c_i} b_{< \kappa}$ .

We conclude  $(b_i)_{i < \kappa}$  is a Morley sequence /  $c_i$  in  $\text{tp}(b/c)$

We may assume (up to a  $c$ -automorphism) that

$b = b|c$  and we may assume (up to a  $b, c$ -automorphism)

that  $a \downarrow_{bc} c_i$  [extension for  $\downarrow'$ ]

$\Rightarrow a \downarrow_c b, c_i \Rightarrow a \downarrow_{c_i} b$

This concludes the reduction. Finish as before  $\square$ .

Theorem Let  $T$  be a first order, stable theory in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma = \mathcal{L} \cup \{\sigma\}$  where  $\sigma =$  unary function symbol.

Let  $T_\sigma = T \cup \{\sigma \text{ is an automorphism}\}$

Assume that  $T_\sigma$  has a model companion  $T_A$ .

Then ①  $T_A$  is simple

② If  $M \models T_A$  and  $a, b, c \in M$ . Then  $a \downarrow_c b$  in

the sense of  $T_A$  iff  $\cancel{a \downarrow_{\sigma^2(c)} b}$  ~~in the~~  
 $\sigma^2(a) \downarrow_{\sigma^2(c)} \sigma^2(b)$  in the  
 sense of  $T$ .

Moreover  $T_A$  is stable iff  $A, B$  are  $\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}$  closed in the

Moreover:  $T_A$  is stable iff: [if  $A, B$  are  $\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}$ -closed in the sense of  $T$  then so is  $\text{acl}_T^{\text{eq}}(A \cup B)$ ] a statement about  $T$ .

Proof Define  $a \underset{C}{\downarrow} b \Leftrightarrow \sigma^Z(a) \underset{\sigma^Z(C)}{\downarrow}^T \sigma^Z(b)$

Verify axioms:

- Invariance ✓
- symmetry ✓
- transitivity ✓
- finite character ✓
- local character ✓
- ~~the~~ independence thru  $\uparrow$   $((T)^{\text{sat}}\text{-saturated})$ -models closed under  $\sigma$ .

this follows from last lecture (Exercise)

- extension:

Fact if  $A$  is closed under  $\sigma$  then so is  $\text{acl}_T^{\text{eq}}(A)$ . (Trivial).

Write  $\text{acl}_\sigma(a) := \text{acl}_T(\sigma^Z(a)) =$  minimal  $\text{acl}$ -closed,  $\sigma$ -closed set containing  $a$ .

We know that the isomorphism type of  $(\text{acl}_\sigma(a), \overset{\text{distinguished}}{a}, \sigma)$  determines  $\text{tp}^{T_A}(a)$ .

Assume  $M \models T_A$  &  $a, b, c \in M$ ,  $C = \text{acl}_\sigma(c)$ ,  $A = \text{acl}_\sigma(ac)$ ,  $B = \text{acl}_\sigma(cb)$ .

By the ~~proof of the~~ ~~proof of the~~  $\exists (N, \sigma) \models T_A$  we can

Recall the proof of the PAPA:

let  $N \models T$  sufficiently saturated. Embed  $A, B, C$  in  $N$

s.t.  $(A \downarrow_C B)$  let  $\sigma_1$  be the image of  $\sigma$  on  $A$   
 $\sigma_2$  " " " on  $B$ .

Then  $\sigma_1|_C = \sigma_2|_C =$  the image of  $\sigma$  on  $C \dots$

blah blah blah ...  $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$  extends to an aut of

$N : (N, \sigma) \models T_\sigma$ .

By defn of model companion,  $\exists (N', \sigma) \models T_A$  st.

$(N, \sigma) \subseteq (N', \sigma)$ .

so in  $N'$  we have  $\sigma^2 a \downarrow_{\sigma^2(c)}^T \sigma^2 b$   $\Leftrightarrow \sigma^2 a \downarrow_{\sigma^2(c)} \sigma^2 b$  is  $a \downarrow_C^T b$   
 $G = \text{acl}(\sigma^2(c))$ . ↙ look at defn of dividing.

and  $\text{tp}^{T_A}(a, c) \text{ tp}^{T_A}(b, c)$  are what we wanted.  $\square$

Note: ~~if~~ If  $T_\sigma$  does not have a model companion, <sup>then</sup>  $T_A$  still

exists as a cat and the theorem holds

• if  $A = \text{acl}_\sigma(A) \Rightarrow$  hyper over  $A$  are Lascar strong.



A type-definable group (in  $T$ ) is given by a partial type  $G(x)$  and another partial type  $m(x, y, z)$  st. the <sup>set of</sup> realisations of  $m$  is the graph of a group operation on the realisations of  $G$ , denoted  $(G, \circ)$

let  $(G, \circ)$  be definable without parameters in a thick simple cat  $T$ .

For a partial type  $p(x)$  over  $A$  st.  $p(x) \vdash x \in G$ ,

we define  $D_G(p, \equiv) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} \equiv^\alpha$  as follows:

- $\emptyset \in D_G(p, \equiv) \Leftrightarrow p$  is consistent.
- if  $\xi \in \equiv^\alpha$  &  $\alpha$  limit, then  $\xi \in D_G(p, \equiv) \Leftrightarrow \forall \beta < \alpha \ \xi|_A \in D_G(p, \equiv)$
- let  $\xi \in \equiv^{\alpha+1}$ ,  $\xi = \theta_1(\varphi, \psi)$  then  $\xi \in D_G(p, \equiv) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G$  & parameter  $c$  st.

$\varphi(x, c)$  divides  $/A$  wrt  $\psi$  and  $\theta \in D_G(p(x) \wedge \varphi(g \circ x, c), \equiv)$

makes it easier to be in  $D_G$  than  $D$ .



Since  $T$  is simple:  $D_G(p, \Xi) \subseteq \Xi < |T|^T$

Write  $\varphi'(x, y, z) := \varphi(z \cdot x, y)$ ,  $\varphi(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) := \varphi(y_{<k}) \wedge z_0 = z_1 = \dots$   
 note  $\varphi'$  is type-def not a formula, but it doesn't matter...

Then  $\exists \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \ \varphi'(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i < k} \varphi'(x, y_i, z_i)$  is contradictory:

otherwise we have  $z_0 = z_1 = \dots = z$  and  $\varphi(\bar{y}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i < k} \varphi(z \cdot x, y_i)$  which is impossible.

$\Rightarrow \exists$  formulas  $\varphi'', \psi''$  st.  $\varphi' \vdash \varphi'', \psi'' \vdash \varphi''$  st.

$\psi''$  is a  $k$ -inconsistency witness for  $\varphi''$ .

So for each pair  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Xi$  choose such  $(\varphi'', \psi'')$ .

Now if  $(\varphi_i, \psi_i) : i < \alpha \in D_G(p, \Xi)$  then

$$(\varphi''_{(\varphi_i, \psi_i)}, \psi''_{(\varphi_i, \psi_i)}) : i < \alpha \in D(p, \Xi) \subseteq \Xi < |T|^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha < |T|^T.$$

For  $\mathfrak{g} \in \Xi^\alpha$  ( $\mathfrak{g} = (\varphi_i, \psi_i) : i < \alpha$ ) and a parameter set  $A$ ,

we say that  $h \in G$  satisfies  $\text{div}_{A, \mathfrak{g}}^G$  if

$\exists$  parameters  $(c_i : i < \alpha)$  and  $(g_i : i < \alpha) \subseteq G$  st.

for all  $i < \alpha$   $\varphi_i(x, c_i)$  divides  $/ A_{c_i} g_i$  wrt.  $\psi_i$  and

$$h \vdash \bigwedge_{i < \alpha} \varphi_i(g_i \cdot x, c_i).$$

Using thickness,  $\text{div}_{\mathfrak{g}, A}^G(x)$  is type-definable.

If  $p(x) \upharpoonright x \in G$  is a partial type /  $A$  then

$\mathfrak{g} \in D_G(p, \equiv)$  iff  $p(x) \wedge \text{div}_{\mathfrak{g}, A}^G(x)$  is consistent.

Proof: same.

Proposition: I. The  $D_G(-, \equiv)$  is <sup>left</sup> translation-invariant:

$$D_G(p(x), \equiv) = D_G(p(g \cdot x), \equiv) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

II. TFAE: ( $g \in G$ )

(i)  $g \downarrow_A B$

(ii)  $D_G(g/A, \equiv) = D_G(g/AB, \equiv)$

(iii)  $D_G(g/AB, \equiv) \cap \max D_G(g/A, \equiv) \neq \emptyset$ .  
 $\uparrow$  maximal cll of  $D_G(g/A, \equiv)$ .

Proof <sup>I</sup>  $\alpha=0$ ,  $\alpha$  limit  $\checkmark$ .

$\mathfrak{g} = \theta \upharpoonright (\varphi, \psi) \in D_G(p(x), \equiv)$ ,  $p$  is over  $A$

So there are  $h, c$  st.  $\varphi(x, c)$  divides /  $A$  wrt  $\psi$

and  $\theta \in D_G(p(x) \wedge \varphi(h \cdot x, c), \equiv)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \theta \in D_G(p(g \cdot x) \wedge \varphi(gh \cdot x, c), \equiv)$$

Since  $g$  was fixed from beginning, we may assume  $g \in A$ , so

$p(g \cdot x)$  is also over  $A$  and ...  $\Rightarrow \xi \in D_G(p(g \cdot x), \Xi)$

use version of lemma that says doesn't matter what  $A$  is.

This proves  $\subseteq$ , so  $D_G(p(g \cdot x), \Xi) \subseteq D_G(p(g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot x), \Xi)$   $\square$

II. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Same as for  $D(-, \Xi)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)  $\checkmark$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $g \not\downarrow_A B$  then there is  $c \in AB$ ,  $\psi(x, y)$ ,  
s.t.  $\psi(x, c)$  divides  $/A$  wrt  $\psi$  and  $g \nmid \psi(x, c)$ .  <sup>$\psi(y, c)$</sup>

$\Rightarrow g \nmid \psi(1 \cdot x, c)$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall \xi \in D_G(g/AB, \Xi) : \xi^{-1}(\psi, \psi) \in D_G(g/A, \Xi)$

contradicting (iii)

$\square$

Defn  $g \in G$  is left-generic over  $A$  if whenever  $h \in G$

and  $g \downarrow_A h$  then  $g \cdot h \downarrow_A h$ .

$g \in G$  is right-generic ...  $h \cdot g \downarrow_A h$ .

$g \in G$  is left + right generic.

Prop TFAE for  $g \in G$ :

- (i)  $g$  is ~~left~~<sup>right</sup>-generic /  $A$
- (ii)  $g$  is generic /  $A$  and  $D_G(g/A, \Xi) = D_G(G, \Xi)$ . ↙ w/o params
- (iii)  $m D_G(G, \Xi) \cap D_G(g/A, \Xi) \neq \emptyset$ .

or  $g$  is generic /  $A \Leftrightarrow$  generic /  $\phi$  and  $g \downarrow A$ .

Proof (of Prop) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

Assume  $g$  is ~~left~~<sup>right</sup>-generic. Let  $\xi \in D_G(G)$ .

Then  $G(x) \wedge \text{div}_{A, \xi}^G(x)$  is consistent, so there is a realisation  $h \in G$  st.  $\xi \in D_G(h/A, \Xi)$ .

$$\text{NMA } g \downarrow_G h \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} hg \\ g h \end{matrix} \downarrow_A h$$

$$\xi \in D_G(h/A, \Xi) = D_G(h/A \cup \frac{hg}{gh}, \Xi) = D_G(h \cdot (gh)^{-1} / A \cup \xi \eta, \Xi)$$

$$[ \text{If } p = \text{tp}(h/A \cup \frac{hg}{gh}) \text{ then } \text{tp}(h \cdot (gh)^{-1} / A \cup \xi \eta) = p(\frac{h}{hg-x}) \checkmark ]$$

$$\dots \Rightarrow \xi \in D_G(g^{-1} / A \cup \xi \eta, \Xi) \subseteq D_G(g/A, \Xi)$$

$$\Rightarrow D_G(g^{-1}/A) = D_G(G)$$

Assuming we already proved (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

$g^{-1}$  is ~~left~~ <sup>right</sup> generic /  $A \Rightarrow g$  is ~~right~~ <sup>left</sup> generic /  $A$ .

$$\Rightarrow D_G((g^{-1})^{-1}, \Xi) = D_G(G).$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\checkmark$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume  $\xi \in mD_G(G, \Xi) \cap D_G(g/A, \Xi)$ .

Let  $h \in G$ ,  $h \underset{A}{\perp} g$ .

$$\text{Then } \xi \in D_G(g/A, \Xi) = D_G(g/Ah, \Xi) = D_G(hg/Ah, \Xi)$$

$$\leq D_G(hg/A, \Xi) \subseteq D_G(G, \Xi).$$

By maximality of  $\xi$  in  $D_G(G, \Xi)$ , it is also

maximal in  $\Rightarrow hg \underset{A}{\perp} h$ . □

5/3.

Remember:  $g \in G$  generic over  $A \Leftrightarrow D_G(g/A, \Xi) = D_G(G, \Xi)$

$$\Leftrightarrow D_G(g/A, \Xi) \cap mD_G(G, \Xi) \neq \emptyset$$

$\Leftrightarrow g$  is generic /  $\phi$  and  $g \underset{A}{\perp} A$ .

Cor let  $\text{gen}(G) = \{ \text{generics (over } \phi) \}$ .

Then  $\text{gen}(G)$  is type definable and nonempty.

If  $\xi \in mD_G(G, \Xi)$  then  $\text{gen}(G) = \{ g \mid \text{div}_{\xi}^G g \neq \emptyset \}$ .

Assume now that  $G$  is type definable in a f.o. supersimple theory.

$$(SU(g/A) \geq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \exists b \text{ s.t. } SU(g/Ab) \geq \alpha \text{ and } g \not\downarrow_A b).$$

Prop For  $g \in G$ ,  $g \in \text{gen}(G) \Leftrightarrow SU(g)$  is maximal in  $\{SU(h) : h \in G\}$ .

Proof let  $g \in G$  & generic,  $h \in G$  (any elt),

we want to show  $SU(g) \geq SU(h)$ .

Fact:  $SU(g/A) = SU(g/Ab) \Leftrightarrow g \not\downarrow_A b$  (we have proved)

Fact: If  $a, b$  are interdefinable /  $A$  then  $SU(a/A) = SU(a^b/A) = SU(b/A)$   
 Exercise even interalgebraic

(This follows from Lascar inequalities +  $SU(a/bA) = SU(b/aA) = \emptyset$ )

We may assume  $g \not\downarrow h$  (only care about type of  $h$ ).

Since  $g$  is generic:  $gh \not\downarrow h$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } SU(g) &= SU(g/h) = SU(g \cdot h/h) = SU(gh) \geq \\ &\geq SU(gh/g) = SU(h/g) = SU(h). \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow SU(g)$  is maximal.

Conversely assume  $SU(g)$  is maximal. Assume  $g \not\downarrow h$ .

Then:  $SU(g) = SU(g/h) = SU(gh/h) \leq SU(gh) \leq SU(g)$

~~But~~ by maximality

$\Rightarrow$  equality so  $gh \downarrow h$ .

Cor if  $T$  is supersimple and its models admit two distinct definable group structures, then both groups have the same generics.

Not true if  $T$  is not supersimple

Examples ① ~~Abelian~~ Additive group of a vector space:  $g$  is generic/ $A$

iff  $g \notin A$  and  $g \neq 0$ .  
 $g \notin \langle A \rangle$ .

② Additive group of an ACF.

$g$  is generic  $\Leftrightarrow g$  is transcendental /  $A$ .

Also for multiplicative group, also have

More generally,  $G$  is an algebraic group then  $G$  is definable in ACF and  $g \in G$  generic/<sub>params</sub>  $\Leftrightarrow$  generic in the sense of algebraic geom. / same params.

③. Theory  $T = \text{Th}(\text{probability algebras})$ .

$\mathcal{U} =$  Algebra of Borel sets of  $[0,1]^k$  modulo null measure sets.

$\mathcal{L}$  is  $\{ \wedge, \vee, \neg, \perp, 0, \overset{\text{measure fn}}{\mu(\cdot)} \geq r, \mu(\cdot) \leq r \}$

$\Delta = \{ \text{all positive qf formulas} \}$ .

Define  $a \oplus b := a \Delta b$  (addition of the Boolean ring)

$G = (\mathcal{U}, \oplus)$ .

Then this is stable and  $a \in G$  is generic  $\Leftrightarrow \mu(a) = \frac{1}{2}$   
 generic /  $A \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(a \mid A) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$G$  is still  $\mu$ -definable /  $\phi$  but let  $H < G$  type-def /  $A$ .

Say  $[G:H] < \infty$  if the index of  $H$  in  $G$  is bounded.

TFAE: ①  $[G:H] < \infty$

②  $\exists g \in H$  which is generic /  $A$  for  $G$ .

~~③  $\forall B \subseteq A, \forall g \in H: g$  is generic /  $B$  for  $G$  /  $A$~~

③  $D_G(G, \equiv) = D_G(H, \equiv)$

④  $m D_G(G, \equiv) \cap D_G(H, \equiv) \neq \emptyset$ .



Proof ①  $\Rightarrow$  ②. let  $g \stackrel{eH}{\in}$  be generic / A, let  $p = \text{stp}(g/A)$ .

H induces an equiv relation on G & we can view

$G/H = \{gH : g \in G\}$  as a set of equivalence classes i.e. hyperimaginaries.

By assumption,  $gH \in \text{bdd}(A)$ .

So  $p(x) \vdash "x \in gH"$ .

let  $g' \neq p$  st.  $g' \downarrow g$ . Then  $g' \in gH$  so  $g^{-1}g' \in H$ .

Fact ~~product of independent generics is generic.~~  
If  $g$  is generic / B,  $g \downarrow h \stackrel{A}{\in}$

Proof of Fact Assume  $g, h$  are generic / B,  $g \downarrow h$ . ( $g \downarrow B, h \downarrow B$ )

Then  $g, h$  are generic /  $\emptyset$ ,  $g \downarrow h$ ,  $g, h \downarrow B$ .

So suffices to prove it /  $\emptyset$ .

~~$g \downarrow h \Rightarrow g \cdot h \downarrow g$  ( $g$  generic)~~

$$\begin{aligned} D_G(g \cdot h, \equiv) &= D_G(g \cdot h / g, \equiv) = D_G(h / g, \equiv) \\ &= D_G(h, \equiv) \end{aligned}$$

Fact: If  $g$  is generic/ $B$ ,  $g \downarrow_B h \Rightarrow gh, hg$  are generic/ $B$ .

Proof of Fact: Suffices to prove that  $hg$  is generic.  
(then  $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$ ).

So  $hg \downarrow_B h$ .

$$D_G(hg/B, \Xi) = D_G(hg/Bh, \Xi) = D_G(g/Bh, \Xi) = D_G(g/B, \Xi) = D_G(G, \Xi).$$

②  $\Rightarrow$  ③: Let  $g$  witness ②. Then  $D_G(H, \Xi) \geq D_G(g/A, \Xi) = D_G(G, \Xi)$ .

③  $\Rightarrow$  ④  $\checkmark$ .

④  $\Rightarrow$  ①. By contrapositive.

Assume that  $[G:H] = \infty$ .

We can find an indiscernible sequence  $(g_i: i < \omega)$  st.

$g_i H \neq g_j H$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $\xi \in D_G(H, \Xi)$ .

We can find  $h \in H$  st.  $\xi \in D_G(h/A, \Xi)$  and

we may assume  $h \downarrow_A g_0$ .

Then  $\xi \in D_G(h/A_{g_0}, \Xi)$

Let  $p(x, y) = tp(hg_0/A)$ . Then  $p(xy) \vdash x \in yH$ .

So  $\xi \in D_G(h/A, g_0, \equiv) = D_G(g_0 h/A, g_0, \equiv)$ .

Let  $p(x, y) = tp(g_0 h, g_0/A)$ . Then  $p(x, y) \vdash x \in yH$ .

Then  $\xi \in D_G(p(x, g_0), \equiv)$ , and  $p(x, g_0)$  divides  $/A$  since  $\wedge x \in g_0 H$  is contradictory.

Then  $\xi$  is not maximal in  $D_G(g_0 h/A, \equiv)$  and a fortiori not maximal in  $D_G(G, \equiv)$ .  $\square$

Defn:  $G_A^0 := \bigcap \{ H : H \text{ is type-definable over } A, [G:H] < \infty \}$   
is the  $A$ -connected component of  $G$ .

Want to prove ①  $[G:G_A^0] < \infty$  and ②  $G \not\leq G_A^0$ .

Proof ① Since we only need to consider boundedly many  $H$ 's.

② Since  $G_A^0$  has bounded index, it has boundedly many conjugacy classes.

If  $g G_A^0 = h G_A^0$  then  $g G_A^0 g^{-1} = h G_A^0 h^{-1}$ .

Let  $H' = \bigcap \{ \text{all conjugates of } G_A^0 \}$ . Then  $[G:H'] < \infty$

and  $H'$  is  $A$ -invariant.  $\Rightarrow H'$  is type definable  $/A \Rightarrow G_A^0 \leq H' \Rightarrow G \not\leq G_A^0$ .

Exercise (similar):  $G^0_A = G^0_{hA}(A)$ .

Assume  $H < G$ ,  $[G:H] < \infty$ ,  $H$  hyperdefinable /  $A$ .

Then  $g \in H$  is generic for  $G/A$  iff for  $H$ .

Proof  $\Rightarrow \checkmark$

$\Leftarrow$  We know that  $\exists h \in H$  which is generic /  $A$  for  $G$ .

Let  $g \in H$  be generic /  $A$  for  $H$ . WTS:  $g$  is generic for  $G$ .

We may assume  $h \downarrow_A g$ .

Since  $g$  is generic for  $H$  and  $h \in H$ :

$hg \downarrow_A h$  and  $hg$  is generic for  $G$ . (since  $h$  is generic for  $G$ )

$hg \downarrow_A h^{-1} \Rightarrow g$  is generic for  $G$   
"  $h^{-1}(hg)$ .

Fact if  $g$  is generic,  $g \downarrow h$  then  $gh$  &  $hg$  are generic.

Cor Every  $a \in G$  is a product of two generics.

Just choose  $g \downarrow a$  generic,  $a = g(g^{-1}a)$

In other words:  $G = \text{gen}(G)^2$

Prop let  $X \subseteq G$  type-definable /  $\emptyset$ . (Otherwise add the parameters to the language)  
Assume that for all  $a, b \in X$  if  $a \perp b$  then  $a^{-1}b \in X$ .

Then  $Y := X^2 < G$  and every generic of  $Y$  is in  $X$ .

Eg:  $X = \text{gen}(G)$

Proof let  $X' = X \cap X^{-1}$ . Then  $X'$  satisfies the assumptions.

Also:  $X \subset (X')^2$ . let  $a \in X$ . Find  $b, c \in X$  st.

$\{a, b, c\}$  are independent. Then  $b^{-1}a \in X$ , ~~also~~  
also  $a^{-1}b \in X$ ,  $b^{-1}a \in X'$ .

Since  $b^{-1}a \perp c$ :  $(b^{-1}a)^{-1} \cdot c \in X$  and by same argument  $(b^{-1}a)^{-1}c \in X'$ . so  $c \in (X')^2$ .

so  $X, X'$  generate the same subgroup.

So WMA  $X = X^{-1}$ .

Choose  $\xi \in D_G(X, \equiv)$  maximal,  $d \in X$  st.  $\xi \in D_G(d, \equiv)$ .

let  $a, b, c \in X$ . We may assume  $a, b, c \perp d$ .

Then  $d^{-1}c \in X$  and  $b \cdot d \in X$ .

Also,  $\xi \in D_G(d, \equiv) = D_G(d/a, b, \equiv) = D_G(bd/ab, \equiv)$   
and  $D_G(bd/ab) \subseteq D_G(bd, \equiv) \subseteq D_G(X, \equiv)$ .

So  $\xi$  is maximal in  $D_G(bd, \Xi) \Rightarrow bd \downarrow a, b$ .

Therefore  $abd \in X$  so  $abc = (abd)(d^{-1}c) \in X^2$

$\Rightarrow X^3 \subseteq X^2$  so  $X^2 = Y < G$ .

Let  $g \in Y = X^2$  be generic.  $y = ab$  for  $a, b \in X$ .

WMA  $d \downarrow a, b, g$ .

By same argument:  $abd = gd \in X$ .

Since  $g$  is generic and  $g \downarrow d$  we have  $gd \downarrow d$

$\Rightarrow g = (gd)d^{-1} \in X$ .  $\square$

Let  $p(x) \vdash x \in G$  be a linear strong type. Say over  $\emptyset$ .

The left pre-stabiliser of  $p$  is  $S(p) = \{ a \in G \mid$

$\exists g \models p \quad g \downarrow a \text{ st. } ag \models p \}$

Lemma  $S(p)$  is type definable.  $S(p) = S(p)^{-1}$ .

if  $a, b \in S(p)$  and  $a \downarrow b$  then  $ab \in S(p)$ .

Proof Choose  $\xi \in \text{mD}_G(p, \Xi)$ .

Then  $S(p) = \{ a : \exists y (p(y) \wedge p(a \cdot y) \wedge \text{div}_{\xi, a}^G(y)) \}$ .

Assume  $a \in S(p)$  and let  $g \downarrow a$  witness this.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } D_G(g, \Xi) &= D_G(g/a, \Xi) = D(ag/a, \Xi) \\ &\subseteq D_G(ag, \Xi) = D_G(g, \Xi) = D_G(p, \Xi). \end{aligned}$$

so  $ag \downarrow a$ . In other words  $a^{-1} \downarrow ag$  and

$a^{-1} \cdot ag \not\vdash p$  so  $a^{-1} \in S(p)$ .

Assume  $a, b \in S(p)$ ,  $a \downarrow b$ . let  $g \downarrow a$  witness  $a \in S(p)$   
and  $g' \downarrow b$  witness  $b \in S(p)$ .

We have  $a \downarrow b$ ,  $g \downarrow a$ ,  $g' \downarrow b$ ,  $g \equiv^s g'$

So  $\exists g'' \downarrow a, b$  st.  $ag'', bg'' \not\vdash p$ .

By previous argument:  $ag'' \downarrow a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g'' \downarrow a, b &\Rightarrow g'' \downarrow_a b \Rightarrow ag'' \downarrow_a b \Rightarrow ag'' \downarrow a, b \\ &\Rightarrow ag'' \downarrow ba^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

so  $ba^{-1} \in S(p)$

□.

Therefore  $\text{Stub}(p) := S(p)^2$  is a group and

$S(p)$  contains all of its generics.

Prop

With the previous assumptions (ie  $p$  is a Lstp /  $\emptyset$ ),

$$\textcircled{1} \quad p \text{ is generic} \stackrel{\textcircled{3}}{\Leftrightarrow} [G : \text{Stab}(p)] < \infty \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\Leftrightarrow} \text{Stab}(p) = G_{\emptyset}^0.$$

$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \textcircled{2}$ : Assume that  $p$  is generic.

Let  $g, g' \neq p$  st.  $g \downarrow g'$ .

Then  $g'g^{-1} \downarrow g \Rightarrow g'g^{-1} \in S(p) \subseteq \text{Stab}(p)$ .

Also  $g'g^{-1}$  is generic for  $G$ .

So  $\text{Stab}(p)$  contains a generic so

$$\text{Stab}(p) \supseteq G_{\text{bdd}\emptyset}^0 = G_{\emptyset}^0. \quad (\text{from exercise}).$$

Since  $p$  is a Lstp and  $G_{\emptyset}^0$  has boundedly many right cosets.

$p(x)$  says in which right coset of  $G_{\emptyset}^0$   $x$  lies.

Therefore, if  $a \in S(p)$  then  $a = (ag) \cdot g^{-1} \in G_{\emptyset}^0$ .

So  $\text{Stab}(p) \subseteq G_{\emptyset}^0 \Rightarrow \text{Stab}(p) = G_{\emptyset}^0$ .

$\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \textcircled{3}$  ✓

$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1}$   $\text{Stab}(p)$  has bounded index it contains a generic of  $G$ , call it  $h$ .



Then  $h$  is also a generic of  $\text{Stab}(p) \Rightarrow h \in S(p)$ .

So  $\exists g \downarrow h$  st.  $g, hg \models p$ .

Since  $h$  is generic,  $hg$  is generic  $\Rightarrow p$  is generic.

Assume  $T$  is stable. □

Lemma  $G_\phi^0$  has a unique generic type /  $\phi$ .

Proof Assume not. Then the same is true over  $\text{bdd } \phi$ .

So we may assume we work over  $\text{bdd } \phi$ .

let  $p, q$  be two generic types of  $G_\phi^0$ .

Then  $\text{Stab}(p) = \text{Stab}(q) = G_\phi^0$ .

So  $p(x) \vdash x \in S(q)$  (since  $p$  is generic type of  $\text{Stab}(q)$ )  
and  $q(x) \vdash x \in S(p)$ .

Therefore  $\exists g \models p$  and  $h \models q$  st.  $g \downarrow h$  and  $gh \models q$ .

But  $p$  &  $q$  are stationary.

So for all  $g \models p$   $h \models q$  if  $g \downarrow h \Rightarrow gh \models q$ .

Similarly: let  $p^{-1} := \text{tp}(g^{-1})$  where  $g \models p$  &  
 $q^{-1} := \text{tp}(h^{-1})$  ( $h \models q$ ).

By same argument for all  $h \in q$  &  $g \in p$

namely for all  $h^{-1} \in q^{-1}$  &  $g^{-1} \in p^{-1}$  then

$$g^{-1} \perp h^{-1} \quad h^{-1}g^{-1} \in p^{-1} \\ (gh)^{-1}$$

$$\text{so } p^{-1} = q^{-1} \quad \text{so } p = q \quad \square$$

able)

Theorem The connected component  $G_A^0$  does not depend on  $A$ . We call it  $G^0$ .

$G$  is connected (ie  $G = G^0$ ) iff  $G$  has a unique generic type.

Proof Let  $A$  be any set of parameters.

Assume that  $G_{\emptyset}^0 \neq G_A^0$ .

Let  $p$  be a generic type of  $G_A^0$ .

Then  $p$  is also generic for  $G$  and  $p \vdash x \in G_{\emptyset}^0$ .

Therefore  $p$  does not divide  $/\emptyset$ .

Let  $q = p / \text{bdd}(\emptyset)$ .

so  $p$  is the unique non-div extn of  $q$ .

we proved this

let  $a \in G_{\phi}^{\circ}$ . let  $g$  be generic for  $G_{\phi}^{\circ}$  ( $g \nVdash q$ ),  
and  $g \downarrow a, A$ .

then  $g \nVdash p$ . Now  $g \downarrow a, A \Rightarrow ag \downarrow_a A$   
 $\Rightarrow ag \downarrow a A$

and  $ag$  is generic for  $G_{\phi}^{\circ} \Rightarrow ag \nVdash q \Rightarrow ag \nVdash p$ .

So  $g, ag \nVdash p \Rightarrow a = (ag \ast) \cdot g^{-1} \in G_A^{\circ}$ . ~~✗~~

~~proof restriction~~ ( $\Leftarrow$ ) let  $g \nVdash p$ . If  $G$  is not connected: ① pt  $x \in G^{\circ}$  since:  
~~let  $g' \nVdash g, g' \nVdash p$ . Then let  $p' =$  generic type of  $G^{\circ}$ . Then  $p' = p$ .~~ ② let  $a \in G \setminus G^{\circ}$ . what abg.  
Then  $ag$  is generic  $\Rightarrow ag \nVdash p$ . But  $ag \in aG^{\circ}$ . ✗.

5/10.

Making one point clearer from last time:

Assume  $T$  is stable,  $G$  type-def group.

$G_{\phi}^{\circ} = G_{\text{bdd}(\phi)}^{\circ}$  and each has a unique generic type over  $\phi$ ,  
 $\text{bdd}(\phi)$  resp.

let  $p$  be the generic type of  $G_{\phi}^{\circ}$ . Then every extn of  $p$   
to  $\text{bdd}(\phi)$  is non-dividing and therefore a generic type  
of  $G_{\text{bdd}(\phi)}^{\circ}$  [ $p \nVdash x \in G_{\phi}^{\circ} = G_{\text{bdd}(\phi)}^{\circ}$ ].

So  $p$  has a unique extension to  $\text{bdd}(\phi)$ .

So even though  $p$  is  $\nVdash \phi$  it is Lascar strong.