

PROFESSOR: Let's do a work check. So main check. If integral $\psi^* \psi dx$ is equal to 1 at $t = t_0$, as we say there, then it must hold for later times, $t > t_0$. This is what we want to check, or verify, or prove.

Now, to do it, we're going to take our time. So it's not going to happen in five minutes, not 10 minutes, maybe not even half an hour. Not because it's so difficult. It's because there's so many things that one can say in between that teach you a lot about quantum mechanics. So we're going to take our time here.

So we're going to first rewrite it with better notation. So we'll define $\rho(x, t)$, which is going to be called the probability density. And it's nothing else than what you would expect, $\psi^* \psi$ of x and t . It's a probability density. You know that has the right interpretation, it's ψ^2 . And that's the kind of thing that integrated over space gives you the total probability. So this is a positive number given by this quantity is called the probability density. Fine.

What do we know about this probability density that we're trying to find about its integral? So define next $N(t)$ to be the integral of $\rho(x, t) dx$. Integrate this probability density throughout space, and that's going to give you $N(t)$.

Now, what do we know? We know that $N(t)$, or let's assume that $N(t_0)$ is equal to 1. N is that normalization. It's that total integral of the probability what had to be equal to 1. Well, let's assume N at t_0 is equal to 1. That's good. The question is, will the Schrodinger equation guarantee that-- and here's the claim-- dN/dt is equal to 0? Will the Schrodinger equation guarantee this?

If the Schrodinger equation guarantees that this derivative is, indeed, zero, then we're in good business. Because the derivative is zero, the value's 1, will remain 1 forever. Yes?

AUDIENCE: May I ask why you specified for $t > t_0$?

Well, I don't have to specify for $t > t_0$. I could do it for all t different than t_0 . But if I say this way, as imagining that somebody prepares the system at some time, t_0 , and maybe the system didn't exist for other times below. Now, if a system existed for long time and you look at it at t_0 , then certainly the Schrodinger equation should imply

that it works later and it works before. So it's not really necessary, but no loss of generality.

OK, so that's it. Will it guarantee that? Well, that's our thing to do. So let's begin the work by doing a little bit of a calculation. And so what do we need to do? We need to find the derivative of this quantity. So what is this derivative of $N \frac{dN}{dt}$ will be the integral $\frac{d}{dt}$ of ρ of x and t dx . So I went here and brought in the $\frac{d}{dt}$, which became a partial derivative. Because this is just a function of t , but inside here, there's a function of t and a function of x . So I must make clear that I'm just differentiating t .

So is $\frac{d}{dt}$ of ρ . And now we can write it as $\int dx$. What this ρ ? $\Psi^* \Psi$. So we would have $\frac{d}{dt}$ of $\Psi^* \Psi$ plus $\Psi^* \frac{d}{dt}$ of Ψ .

OK. And here you see, if you were waiting for that, that the Schrodinger equation has to be necessary. Because we have the $\Psi \frac{d\Psi}{dt}$. And that information is there with Schrodinger's equation. So let's do that.

So what do we have? $i\hbar \frac{d\Psi}{dt} = H\Psi$. We'll write it like that for the time being without copying all what H is. That would take a lot of time. And from this equation, you can find immediately that $\frac{d\Psi}{dt}$ is $-\frac{i}{\hbar} H\Psi$.

Now we need to complex conjugate this equation, and that is always a little more scary. Actually, the way to do this in a way that you never get into scary or strange things. So let me take the complex conjugate of this equation. Here I would have i goes to $-i$ \hbar , and now I would have-- we can go very slow-- $\frac{d\Psi^*}{dt}$ equals, and then I'll be simple minded here. I think it's the best. I'll just start the right hand side. I start the left hand side and start the right hand side.

Now here, the complex conjugate of a derivative, in this case I want to clarify what it is. It's just the derivative of the complex conjugate. So this is $-\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{d}{dt}$ of Ψ^* equals $H\Psi^*$, that's fine. And from here, if I multiply again by i divided by \hbar , we get $\frac{d\Psi^*}{dt}$ is equal to $\frac{i}{\hbar} H\Psi^*$.

We obtain this useful formula and this useful formula, and both go into our calculation of $\frac{dN}{dt}$. So what do we have here? $\frac{dN}{dt}$ equals $\int dx$, and I will put an $\frac{i}{\hbar}$, I think, here. Yes. $\frac{i}{\hbar}$. Look at this term first. We have $\frac{i}{\hbar}$, $\Psi^* \Psi$. And the second term involves a $\frac{d\Psi}{dt}$ that comes with an opposite sign. Same factor of $\frac{i}{\hbar}$, so $-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Psi^* H\Psi$.

So the virtue of what we've done so far is that it doesn't look so bad yet. And looks relatively clean, and it's very suggestive, actually. So what's happening? We want to show that dN/dt is equal to 0. Now, are we going to be able to show that simply that to do a lot of algebra and say, oh, it's 0? Well, it's kind of going to work that way, but we're going to do the work and we're going to get to dN/dt being an integral of something. And it's just not going to look like 0, but it will be manipulated in such a way that you can argue it's 0 using the boundary condition.

So it's kind of interesting how it's going to work. But here structurally, you see what must happen for this calculation to succeed. So we need for this to be 0. We need the following thing to happen. The integral of $\hat{h} \psi^* \psi$ be equal to the integral of $\psi^* \hat{h} \psi$. And I should write the dx 's. They are there.

So this would guarantee that dN/dt is equal to 0. So that's a very nice statement, and it's kind of nice is that you have one function starred, one function non-starred. The \hat{h} is where the function needs to be starred, but on the other side of the equation, the \hat{h} is on the other side. So you've kind of moved the \hat{h} from the complex conjugated function to the non-complex conjugated function. From the first function to this second function.

And that's a very nice thing to demand of the Hamiltonian. So actually what seems to be happening is that this conservation of probability will work if your Hamiltonian is good enough to do something like this. And this is a nice formula, it's a famous formula. This is true if H is a Hermitian operator.

It's a very interesting new name that shows up that an operator being Hermitian. So this is what I was promising you, that we're going to do this, and we're going to be learning all kinds of funny things as it happens. So what is it for a Hermitian operator? Well, a Hermitian operator, H , would actually satisfy the following. That the integral, $\int \psi_1^* H \psi_2$ is equal to the integral of $\int \psi_1 H \psi_2$.

So an operator is said to be Hermitian if you can move it from the first part to the second part in this sense, and with two different functions. So this should be possible to do if an operator is to be called Hermitian. Now, of course, if it holds for two arbitrary functions, it holds when the two functions are the same, in this case.

So what we need is a particular case of the condition of hermiticity. Hermiticity simply means that the operator does this thing. Any two functions that you put here, this equality is true. Now

if you ask yourself, how do I even understand that? What allows me to move the H from one side to the other? We'll see it very soon. But it's the fact that H has second derivatives, and maybe you can integrate them by parts and move the derivatives from the ψ_1 to the ψ_2 , and do all kinds of things.

But you should try to think at this moment structurally, what kind of objects you have, what kind of properties you have. And the objects are this operator that controls the time evolution, called the Hamiltonian. And if I want probability interpretation to make sense, we need this equality, which is a consequence of hermiticity.

Now, I'll maybe use a little of this blackboard. I haven't used it much before. In terms of Hermitian operators, I'm almost there with a definition of a Hermitian operator. I haven't quite given it to you, but let's let state it, given that we're already in this discussion of hermiticity.

So this is what is called the Hermitian operator, does that. But in general, ρ , given an operator T , one defines its hermitian conjugate T^\dagger as follows. So you have the integral of $\psi_1^* T \psi_2$, and that must be rearranged until it looks like $T^\dagger \psi_1^* \psi_2$.

Now, these things are the beginning of a whole set of ideas that are terribly important in quantum mechanics. Hermitian operators, or eigenvalues and eigenvectors. So it's going to take a little time for you to get accustomed to them. But this is the beginning. You will explore a little bit of these things in future homework, and start getting familiar. For now, it looks very strange and unmotivated. Maybe you will see that that will change soon, even throughout today's lecture.

So this is the Hermitian conjugate. So if you want to calculate the Hermitian conjugate, you must start with this thing, and start doing manipulations to clean up the ψ_2 , have nothing at the ψ_2 , everything acting on ψ_1 , and that thing is called the dagger.

And then finally, T is Hermitian if T^\dagger is equal to T . So its Hermitian conjugate is itself. It's almost like people say a real number is a number whose complex conjugate is equal to itself. So a Hermitian operator is one whose Hermitian conjugate is equal to itself, and you see if T is Hermitian, well then it's back to T and T in both places, which is what we've been saying here. This is a Hermitian operator.