

**PROFESSOR:** So this is our adiabatic change. So now we can say several things. OK, if  $\omega$  is changing slowly, the energy is changing slowly, but do we have something that changes even more slowly, something that really almost doesn't change?

What you need here is basically-- this was a very important discovery in classical mechanics. You need like two things that change. Everything is going to change slowly, but then there's going to be one thing that changes slowly and another thing that changes slowly, and they change kind of in the same way in such a way that the ratio or some combination of them doesn't change almost at all. That's what we're trying to get.

Anybody knows in classical mechanics what quantity here doesn't change much? Nobody. No clue? It's not obvious what doesn't change much, but here is the claim. Claim is that the quantity that doesn't change much is, in fact, the energy divided by  $\omega$ .

The energy will change slowly.  $\omega$  will change slowly. But the ratio is almost not going to change at all. So here is the claim. There is an  $I$  of  $t$  called adiabatic invariant, which is basically  $H$  of  $t$  divided by  $\omega$  of  $t$ , and it's almost constant. And this quantity has the units of energy times time.

I don't want to give away the whole story. But I think it's good if you, at this moment, think a second, well, what could it mean, or do I even have a clue why this could happen? And you think oh, quantum mechanics. The harmonic oscillator, what happened? The energy was equal to  $h \omega$  times the level.

So kind of energy divided by  $\omega$  is kind of a nice quantity. It's a quantum number. Quantum numbers are quantized, and they don't like to change, because how could an integer change slowly? As soon as it changes, it changes big. So a little bit of what we're getting at is the resistance of a system to change quantum level. When something is quantized, it cannot change slowly, and the adiabatic invariant is exploiting in classical physics that quantum property, if you wish.

So let's look at that. So the claim is that the name  $i$  is for adiabatic invariant, and we can verify it, and get some intuition as to why those very slowly. Now, I cannot prove that thing doesn't change. That would be too much, but it's going to change very slowly. You will appreciate that.

Let's see. Let's compute the derivative,  $d/dt$ . So it's a ratio. So I have  $\omega^2$ .  
 $\omega$ . I'm going to use dots, and I'm going to stop writing the factor, the key dependents.  
 $\omega \dot{H} - H \dot{\omega}$ . So what do we have here?  $\omega$ ,  $\dot{H}$  was calculated  
up there,  $m \omega$ ,  $\dot{x}^2 - H \dot{p}^2 / 2m -$  no, minus. Plus  
 $1/2 m \omega^2 \dot{x}^2$  times  $\dot{\omega} / \omega^2$ .

And well, I still remember when I first saw that. I probably wanted the numerator to cancel and  
to do something very nice and simplify a lot. But it doesn't happen. So let's see what really  
happens. Well, you have this term,  $\omega^2 \dot{x}^2 m$ ,  $\omega^2 \dot{x}^2 m$ ,  
 $\dot{\omega} \dot{x}^2 m$ . But the factors of 2 don't make it cancel. So it's there.

So let me write what we get when we simplify this.  $d/dt$  is equal to  $\dot{\omega} / \omega^2$   
times  $1/2 m \omega^2 \dot{x}^2 - p^2 / 2m$ . That term is clear.  
The  $p^2$  is that, and here, we cancel the 1, partially with a  $1/2$ . So we've got this.

OK, so it doesn't look like it wants to be 0. But it's still very good. Let's see why that result is  
nice. Well, one thing you realize here is that it actually gave you kind of back the Hamiltonian  
with a different sign there. This is negative, and this will remain positive. So let's write this, this  
 $\dot{\omega} / \omega^2$ . And this is the kinetic energy minus the potential energy, well, the  
potential energy  $V$  of  $t$  minus the kinetic energy  $K$  of  $t$  in the harmonic oscillator.

Moreover, this quantity is already very small. So this thing is very small, but the fact that the  
adiabatic invariant is adiabatic that it's really good, should go beyond this. There should be  
something suppressing about this factor, because you know, this came from just the fact that  
things vary with  $\dot{\omega}$ . So what is happening? This is small and slowly varying.

This is neither small, nor slowly varying, in fact. Why? Potential minus kinetic energy. The  
potential energy in an oscillator goes up when the kinetic energy is 0. I see the oscillator goes  
to the end, stretches [INAUDIBLE] potential energy is large, the kinetic energy is 0. As it goes  
through the center, the equilibrium point, the kinetic energy is larger.

So this is oscillating. And it's very large, but now, you probably remember this fact about the  
harmonic oscillators. While the potential and kinetic energies oscillate, their averages are the  
same. So that's how this term is going to help you. The average of this quantity is roughly 0  
over any period. And a period over a period, this quantity changes little.

So this is going to help us. Let me remind you here, suppose you have an oscillation, an  $x$

equals  $\sin \omega t$ , then the momentum would be  $m \dot{x}$ , so  $m \omega \cos \omega t$ , and the kinetic energy minus the potential energy, if you do this little calculation, will go like  $\omega^2 \cos^2 \omega t$ , the  $v$  minus  $k$ . I leave for you that little calculation.

But it will go like  $\cos^2 \omega t$ , twice the period. And that thing tends to have a 0 average. So let's see what happens now. The idea to see what happens to it. Let's calculate  $I$  at  $t$  plus the period minus  $I$  at some  $t$ . So let's see how much  $I$  changes in a period.

So from here, we have the derivative. So we must do the integral from  $t$  to  $t + T$  of the  $dI/dt$ . So this will be the integral from  $t$  to  $t + T$  of this whole thing,  $\dot{\omega} / \omega^2$  times  $v$  of  $t$  -- it's all  $t$  prime, actually --  $v$  prime minus  $k$  prime  $dt$ .

Let's see that. You have the derivative of  $I$ , so you can calculate the change in  $I$  by integrating with the derivative of  $I$  over  $m\omega$ . We've done that, and we've asked how much does this thing change over a period. Then we have that  $I$  of  $t + T$  minus  $I$  of  $t$ , we have an integral over a period. We set this quantity very slowly and very little over a period, so roughly speaking, this is equal to  $\dot{\omega} / \omega^2$  at  $t$ . It didn't change much over the integral. And then we have the integral over a period of the potential energy minus the kinetic energy.

And for a normal oscillator that is time independent, this quantity is strictly 0. If  $\omega$  was not changing, this would be identically 0. So if  $\omega$  is changing slowly, this quantity must be very close to 0. It's identically 0 when it doesn't change. Therefore, you see you got an extra suppression factor. The change in the adiabatic, so-called adiabatic invariant over time was already small, because everything goes slow. But there is an extra suppression due to the fact that these two energies have the same average over a period.

So you gain something. If the energy changes slowly, this energy over  $\omega$  changes even much more slowly than that. So this is really exactly 0 for time independent  $\omega$ , approximately 0 for slow  $\omega$ , slowly changing  $\omega$ . So that's the extra suppression factor, and that's what makes this an adiabatic invariant, something that really changes dramatically slower in a system in which everything is already changing slowly.