

PROFESSOR: OK, so our discussion at the beginning was based on just taking the state, those instantaneous energy eigenstates, and calculating what phases would make it satisfy the Schrodinger equation. And we found those are the phases that came close to satisfying the Schrodinger equation, but not quite. So in order to do this under a more controlled approximation, let's do a calculation where we put all the information in.

So if you have a state ψ of t , we'll write it as a superposition of states of instantaneous eigenstates. So this is a general solution. Maybe general $[\psi \text{ as a function of } t]$ for a solution. The wave function-- since those instantaneous energy eigenstates are complete orthonormal, this form a ON basis, orthonormal basis at all times-- at any time, it's an orthonormal set of states-- we should be able to write our state as that superposition.

So what we're going to do is now kind of re-do the analysis of the [INAUDIBLE] approximation more generally so that we see, in fact, equations that show up that you can solve in general. So the Schrodinger equation is $i \hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H \psi$. So let's look at what it gives us here.

So we'll have $i \hbar \sum_n \dot{c}_n \psi_n + \sum_n c_n \dot{\psi}_n$ this is a time derivative of this state-- is equal to $H \psi$ -- this is the sum over n c_n of t -- $H \psi_n$, is equal to $E_n \psi_n$ of t . OK, so that's your equation. Now let's see in various components what it gives you.

So to see the various components, we form an overlap with a ψ_k of t . So we'll bring in a ψ_k of t . And what do we get?

Since these states are orthonormal, ψ_k , when it comes here, this is a function of time. It doesn't care. ψ_k hits a ψ_n . That's a Kronecker delta. The sum disappears. And the only term that is left here is \dot{c}_k . So we get $i \hbar \dot{c}_k$ from this term.

And let's put the second term to the right hand side. So let's just write what we get from the right hand side and from this term. So from the right hand side, we have the ψ_k on that thing that is on the right. That, again, hits this state and produces a Kronecker delta. So we get $c_k E_k$ of t from the term that was on the right hand side.

And here, however, we don't get rid of the sum because ψ_k is not orthonormal to $\dot{\psi}_n$.

Ψ_n dot is more complicated. So what do we get here? Minus $i \hbar$ the sum over n $\psi_k \psi_n$ dot inner product C_n .

OK, that's pretty close to what we want. But let's write it still in a slightly different way. I want to isolate the C_k 's. So from that sum, I will separate the C_k part. So we'll have E_k of t .

And there's going to be a term here, when we have n equal k , so I'll bring it out there-- minus $i \hbar$ $\psi_k \psi_k$ dot C_k . And the last term now becomes $i \hbar$ the sum over n different from k $\psi_k \psi_n$ dot C_n . OK, so this is the form of the equation that is nice and gives you a little understanding of what's going on.

That's a general treatment of trying to make a solution from instantaneous energy eigenstates. Here were your instantaneous energy eigenstates. We tried to make a solution. That is the full equation. What did we do before? We used just one of them. We took one instantaneous energy eigenstate and we tried to make a solution by multiplying by one thing, and then we tried. But then it doesn't work because when you have just one coefficient, say k , with some fixed k , you have this equation. But then you couple to all other coefficients where n is different from k .

So what we did before was essentially, by claiming that this term is small, just focus on this thing, and this is an easily solvable equation that, in fact, gives the type of solution we have there. When you have C dot equal to this-- I'll write it in our previous approximation, so in the approximation where the last term is negligible. And we would see why it could be negligible. Then we get just $i \hbar$ C_k dot equals E_k of t minus $i \hbar$ $\psi_k \psi_k$ dot C_k .

And this thing is solved by writing C_k of t is equal to e to the 1 over $i \hbar$ integral from 0 to t of this whole thing E_k of t prime minus $i \hbar$ $\psi_k \psi_k$ dot of t prime dt prime times C_k of 0 . This is a differential equation. So $i \hbar$ times the time derivative of this-- if you apply a $i \hbar$ time derivative, you differentiate with respect to time, then exponent, you get 1 over $i \hbar$ that cancels this $i \hbar$. And the derivative of the exponent is this factor-- just this standard, first order, time dependent differential equation.

So last time we said we ignored possible couplings between the different modes represented by this term, and we just solved this equation, which gave us this, which is exactly what we've been writing here. e to the $i \theta$ of k comes from the first term on that integral. And e to the $i \gamma$ of k comes from the second term on that integral. These are the same things.

